

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.oxpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/768,658	01/23/2001	Jeremy A. Kenyon	41018.P009	3790
25943	7590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A	
	CENTER, SUITES 1600 FTH AVENUE	-1900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	O. OR 97204		2122	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\longrightarrow$
	''		
Advisory Action	09/768,658	KENYON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoang-Vu A Nguyen-Ba	2122	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment white (1) a timely filed amendment white (1) are the contraction (1) are the contra	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three magazined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2)	ion fee under as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li> <li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	ı.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or h) disapproved by	the Evaminer	
	•		
	ящэд г то-т <del>ччэ</del> ) гары Nu(s).	<del></del> ·	
0. Other:			
		Hoang-Vu Antony Ngu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2122	yen-Ba

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in response to Applicants'argument that Davis does not teach the necessary feature of having the server providing the client computer with an update task list, the examiner directs Applicants' attention to Davis 8:62 to 9:39 that corresponds to Figure 3B cited in the Office action. This cited portion describes:

- 1. a logon script that is invoked by a client to log on to a client server;
- 2. the logon script invokes a SMSLS batch file;
- 3. the SMSLS batch file invokes a client setup executable that accesses a domain initialization file to retrieve a current list of software ("the program list") to be loaded onto the client.

The examiner interprets the claimed feature of "providing the client computer with an update task list" to read on Davis' feature of "retrieving a current list of software to be loaded onto the client." Therefore, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,742,829 to Davis is still proper and maintained.

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Horngun Cent ony harryen 30